



North Central Florida Alliance CoC Ranking and Selection Process

Application Scoring Sheet

Organization Name: _____

Project Name: _____

Date: _____

FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
CoC Funding Requested	\$
Match Funds	\$
Total Project Cost	\$

LEAD AGENCY REQUIREMENTS			
Scoring Factor	Description	Points Available	Points Awarded
Is the applicant an active CoC participant?	Lead Agency staff will provide attendance record for General Membership and Committee meetings	Yes = 5 No = 0	
Does applicant actively participate in or agree to participate in HMIS or comparable database for DV?	Applicant shall provide APR report exported from HMIS (or comparable database) Calendar Year 2021	Yes = 5 No = 0	
If utilizing HMIS or comparable database, is data quality at or above 80%?	Lead Agency will provide Data Quality Report Card for CoC—funded all agency projects	95% = 10 90% = 7 85% = 5 80% = 3 Below 80% = 0	
Has the applicant received a finding from CoC or HUD monitoring in the past 3 years?		No Finding = 5 Finding = 0	
Did the applicant include documentation of required match and leverage?	Applicant’s Match letter should clearly state the required 100% cash or in-kind match for each grant application	Yes = 5 No = 0	
Does the applicant have a history of reallocating funding over the past 3 years?	Lead Agency Staff will provide reallocations (if any) for FY19-22 funded agencies	No = 5 Yes = 0	
Does the applicant have adequate cash flow to support the Cost Reimbursement process	Applicant’s financial records show adequate cash flow and cash on hand to continue operations during reimpursement period	Yes = 5 No = 0	
Is the project financially feasible?	Applicant’s Budget Summary should provide clear and detailed information presenting a feasible project	Yes = 5 No = 0	



Has the applicant provided timely and accurate monthly invoices?	Lead Agency Staff will provide evidence of invoicing	Yes = 5 No = 0	
--	--	-------------------	--

LOCAL THRESHOLDS			
Scoring Factor	Description	Points Available	Points Awarded
Does the applicant agree to make and accept referrals through Coordinated Entry, if applicable?	Project Narrative should describe how the applicant will participate or how it actively participates in Coordinated Entry, if applicable. If not applicable, describe how the applicant prioritizes individuals eligible for services	Clearly explains = 5 Somewhat Explains = 3 Not addressed in application = 0	
Does applicant use or agree to use a standardized assessment tool (VI-SPDAT) to prioritize clients?	Project Narrative should describe how the applicant will use a standardized assessment tool to prioritize clients, if applicable. If not applicable, describe how the applicant prioritizes individuals eligible for services	Clearly explains = 5 Somewhat Explains = 3 Not addressed in application = 0	
Does the project meet one of the CoC priorities?	Project Narrative should describe how the applicant will serve one of the local priorities included in the RFP	Yes = 10 No = 0	

ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY			
Scoring Factor	Description	Points Available	Points Awarded
Does the applicant have a history of addressing the needs of, and providing services for low-income, homeless, at-risk of homeless or formerly homeless individuals?	Project Narrative should describe the applicant's experience	6+ Years = 5 3-5 Years = 4 2-4 years = 3 < 2 years = 0	
Does the applicant have experience of operating the same or similar programs that shows the effectiveness of the service(s) provided?	Project Narrative should clearly describe the applicant's experience operating the same or similar programs focusing on program outcomes	Clearly explains = 10 Somewhat Explains = 5 Not addressed in application = 0	

HUD PRIORITIES			
Scoring Factor	Description	Points Available	Points Awarded
Does the program work to "end homelessness for all persons"?	Project Narrative should describe how the program works to end homelessness for all persons	Clearly explains = 10 Somewhat Explains = 5 Not addressed in application = 0	



Does the project follow a “Housing First” or “Low-barrier” model approach?	Project Narrative should describe how the applicant uses a “Housing First” or “Low-barrier” model approach regarding eligibility criteria	Clearly explains = 10 Somewhat Explains = 5 Not addressed in application = 0	
Does the program work to “reduce unsheltered homelessness”?	Project Narrative should describe how the program works to reduce unsheltered homelessness	Clearly explains = 10 Somewhat Explains = 5 Not addressed in application = 0	
Does the applicant “partner with housing, health and/or service agencies”?	Project Narrative should describe how the applicant does or will partner with housing, health and/or service agencies	Clearly explains = 10 Somewhat Explains = 5 Not addressed in application = 0	
Does the program work to increase affordable housing supply?	Project Narrative should describe how the applicant does or will work to increase affordable housing supply	Clearly explains = 10 Somewhat Explains = 5 Not addressed in application = 0	

PROGRAM OUTCOMES		
	Max Value	Points Awarded
SPM 1 - Length of Stay – For Renewal: Does the project have a history of moving participants into permanent housing quickly? – (October 1 2020-September 30, 2021)	10	
SPM 2 - Returns to Homelessness - For Renewal: Does the project have a history of participants remaining in permanent housing quickly? (October 1 2020-September 30, 2021)	10	
SPM 4 - Increased Income - For Renewal: Does the project have a history of participants increasing earned and non-earned income? (October 1 2020-September 30, 2021)	10	
SPM 7 - Successful Exits to Permanent Housing - For Renewal: Does the project have a history of exiting participants to Permanent Housing? (October 1 2020-September 30, 2021)	10	

EQUITY			
Scoring Factor	Description	Points Available	
Does the project serve under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc)?	Project Narrative should describe the target population and detail how the program will serve under-represented individuals	Clearly explains = 10 Somewhat Explains = 5 Not addressed in application = 0	



Is the applicant addressing racial equity and racial disparities in the provision or outcome of services?	Project Narrative should clearly describe how the applicant advances racial equity and racial disparities in homelessness within organization by assessing racial disparities in the provision or outcome of homeless assistance and taken the necessary steps to address such disparities	Clearly explains = 10 Somewhat Explains = 5 Not addressed in application = 0	
Has the applicant reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes?	Applicant's can provide internal policies and/or procedures	Yes = 5 No = 0	
Has the applicant reviewed internal policies and procedures to ensure privacy, respect, safety and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation in projects?	Applicant's can provide internal policies and/or procedures (LGBTQ+)	Yes = 5 No = 0	
Does the applicant have an interactive process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one?	Project Narrative should clearly describe the applicant's process for incorporating persons with Lived Experience or a plan to create one	Clearly explains = 10 Somewhat Explains = 5 Not addressed in application = 0	

Remainder of page left blank intentionally.